

# Criteria E: Evaluation

words: 522

## Meeting the Success Criteria:

Words: 296

1. Have input fields for all the required information. However no field should be made as a requirement in order to submit the request and the input should be free-form. - ***was adequately met as almost every field is non essential. Almost all input fields are taken in as Strings so they are “free-form”. My client was satisfied with the amount of freedom the input fields allow and was happy with the restrictions on the budget year fields.***<sup>1</sup>
2. Provide a brief description of what is expected in each answer field in the form. Exclude description for Donor Name, Visibility Requirements, and the Other Comments input fields. - ***was met fully with the info buttons providing descriptions when they are hovered over. My client was very happy with what they explained.***<sup>2</sup>
3. Be able to export all stored requests into an excel sheet. - ***was fully met as my client was able to export the contributions to Excel and was very happy with the output file.***<sup>3</sup>
4. Restrict fundraisers' access to the list of requests while allowing my client to see them at will through a password lock. - ***was met fully, and my client was very happy with the popup login screen.***<sup>4</sup>
5. Allow previous requests to be edited and corrected if new information is provided. My client should have the ability to add comments on each request. -***was fully met. My client was able to modify each field of the requests, so could leave comments for themselves through editing.***<sup>5</sup>
6. Have a professional format for the input form as outside users will need to interact with the system (appropriate colors and font). -***was fully met as my client liked the simplistic and clean format of the contribution. They also loved the interface for the table of contributions and found it very easy to use.***<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #9 and #22

<sup>2</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #12

<sup>3</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #21

<sup>4</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #14

<sup>5</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #21

<sup>6</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 client answer #22

## **Recommendations for Further Improvement:**

Words: 226

<b>Recommendation for Improvement</b>	<b>Benefits</b>	<b>Why/how Realistic</b>
<p><b>1. Due Diligence field<sup>7</sup>:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Add “in process” or “complete” (trigger date field)</li> <li>- Add in ‘i’ button: “Please indicate in the Comments if DD has been granted with any special considerations e.g. limited visibility”.</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Budget Year field<sup>8</sup>:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Limit year fields to 5 years 2021-2025</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Geographical Interest field<sup>9</sup>:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Possible to select regions: Africa, Americas, Europe, MENA, Asia and the Pacific</li> <li>- Multiple country selection</li> </ul> <p><b>4. Two additional date fields<sup>10</sup>:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Date of Request</b> assigned to form at submission</li> <li>- <b>Due Date Input Needed</b> designates urgency.</li> </ul> <p><b>5. “Recommended contributions” change to “Priority Requests”<sup>11</sup></b></p>	<p>1. These additions will improve the filtration on the type of requests the fundraisers send to my client, and improve the fundraiser’s ability to understand what they need to write</p> <p>2. This recommendation would reduce the amount of not acceptable requests sent to my client</p> <p>3. Increases the accuracy of the geographical interest as it allows a wider range of regions and could better describe the intended donations</p> <p>4. Increases the amount of information my client receives from fundraisers and gives a new criteria to sort contributions</p> <p>5. A more accurate parameter to base the importance of requests on, rather than the three categories I am using</p>	<p>1. This is a realistic addition as another button can be added. This could then be used to separate the contributions into subclasses based on whether DD is complete</p> <p>2. This can be easily implemented through a check that occurs at the same as the other budget year error checks</p> <p>3. This could be implemented, but the selection of multiple countries might be more difficult to implement without major changes to my Earmarked Class</p> <p>4. Date of requests would be easy given my Date class can get the current date when it is instantiated. The second field is a realistic addition of one attribute</p> <p>5. This is a much simpler way of sorting the contributions because there are fewer parameters to sort by. Thus it is a realistic improvement</p>

<sup>7</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 **client answer #7**

<sup>8</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 **client answer #9**

<sup>9</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 **client answer #10**

<sup>10</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 **client answer #18 and #19**

<sup>11</sup> Client, interview by author, April 4, 2020. Transcript interview #3 **client answer #19**

[APPENDIX TRANSCRIPT #3](#) (Linked as Crit\_E\_Interview.)